to assimilate more closely in the following respects: the short robust mandibles, which are slightly recurved at the apex; the deeply excavate head, with the sides rounded and strongly produced; the form of the thorax, with its anterior trilobate process; and, finally, the elytra, on which the costa are more prominently defined, the sculpture of the interstices, as well as the punctuation, being considerably stronger than in any species pertaining to the genus Figulus. N. trilobus belongs to the third section of the genus, characterized by the mandibles being simple, or unarmed, on their upper surface.

## FIGULUS.

## Sectional arrangement.

The species belonging to the genus Figulus may be thus sectionized:—

A. Elytra smooth, partially striate. F. lævipennis.
B. Elytra partially, but irregularly, punctate-striate. F. sublævis.
C. Elytra regularly punctate-striate. F. striatus, F. regularis, F. forcicollis, Manillarum, Scaritiformis, and others.

XIII. Remarks on the affinities of the genus Nicagus, Leconte. By Mons. Henri Deyrolle. Communicated in a letter to Major F. J. Sidney Parry, F.L.S.

"I have availed myself during the past few days of certain leisure time to examine your beetle attentively, and regret that I am compelled to differ entirely from our learned and esteemed friend Mr. Westwood,\* and find that it is impossible to separate Nicagus from the Lucanida, and that I cannot locate it satisfactorily among the Lamellicornia, in whatever group I attempt to place it.

"It presents one slight differential character, and one alone, from the Lucanida, namely, the narrow mentum, but in all other respects it coincides so entirely that I have not the shadow of a doubt that the position of Nicagus is

in close proximity to Mitophyllus.

"Observe that all its organs correspond with those of that group; take for instance for comparison a female specimen of your M. irroratus: the head I find is nearly similar; the antennæ likewise, with the lamelle a triffe thicker; the prothorax agrees in every respect with that

of the species of the group, crenulated as in them, and with nothing analogous amongst the Melolonthide; the legs, it appears to me, settle the question beyond a doubt, for in no group of Lamellicornia do we meet with this characteristic form of the anterior tibia, so elongate, square at the apex, dilated at the tip, dentate like a saw behind the large teeth; the tarsi with the claws very large are identical with those of Mitophyllus; the body has entirely the form and characters of those of the Lucanida; the margined abdomen fitting close to the reflexed margin of the elytra is entirely that of a Lucanid, although this character is found in many Lamellicornia; lastly, the mandibles and palpi agree in every respect with those of the family to which your insect should be referred; and I repeat that I entertain not the slightest doubt and do not hesitate to consider it a *Lucanid*, and think that you will participate in this opinion."

Obs.—I should remark that Dr. Leconte has already suggested the reference of this insect to the *Lucanide*. Vide my observations, Proc. Ent. Soc., 7 February, 1870.

—F. J. S. P.

Nicagus obscurus. (Pl. V. fig. 8, a. b.)

Ochodæus obscurus, Leconte, Act. Philad. 1848, p. 86. Nicagus abscurus, Leconte, Classif. Coleop. N. Am. 1861, p. 136.

Parry, Proc. Ent. Soc. 1870, p. iii. Westwood, Proc. Ent. Soc. 1870, p. ix.

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE V.

1. Odontolabis Lovei, &. Parry.

2. Metopodontus Blanchardi, 3. Parry.

3. Prosopocoilus Mohniki, 3. Parry.

4. Lissotes capito, &. H. Deyrolle.

5. " " þ. Parry.

6. Nigidius cribricollis. Parry.

7. " distinctus. Parry.

8. Nicagus obscurus. H. Deyrolle.

a. " (antenna).

b. " (labium).

